

EU-Canada's strategic partnership: broadening relations and mutual interests

ANNEGRET BENDIEK

German Institute for International and Security Affairs

annegret.bendiek@swp-berlin.org

FELIX SCHENUIT

University of Hamburg, Germany

felix.schenuit@uni-hamburg.de

Abstract:

The strengthening of EU-Canada relations in the last years has revealed mutual interests in several policy fields. In times of increasingly tense relations with the US and weakening multilateralism, deepened and broadened bilateral cooperation is of particular importance for both, Canada and the EU. In order to better understand mutual interests and similar challenges, this article explores cooperation in the two different policy fields of foreign and security policy and climate change policy. This analysis of the current situation in international security and climate change policy points out key areas in which closer EU-Canada cooperation could be brought to bear fruits not only for their bilateral relationship but also the alliance for multilateralism in the short run and for years to come.

Key words: Canada, EU, Security, Climate, multilateralism

Introduction

The EU-Canada relations have been strengthened in the last years. Both, the EU and Canada rely for the success of their economic growth models on a free trade regime that is built on transparent and reliable international rules. Since the Declaration on Canada-European Community Transatlantic Relations in 1990 both sides have gradually extended their economic cooperation. The conclusion of the Comprehensive Economic and Trade Agreement (CETA), cooperation in climate change leadership and the Strategic Partnership Agreement (SPA) on foreign and security policy in 2016 illustrate the development of this partnership as well as the following assessment by EEAS Deputy Secretary General Pedro Serrano: "The EU-Canada relationship – like brandy – has gotten better and better with age" (Serrano, 2016). The EU-Canada Summit in July 2019 highlighted the convergence of values and cooperation in a number of areas. The shared commitments include in particular the fight against climate change, the protection of the environment and oceans. As a result, the EU and Canada signed the Ocean Partnership.

An enhanced EU-Canada cooperation on the technical level was recently demonstrated through various events such as at the annual gathering of foreign ambassadors in the German capital at the end of August 2018. Most recently, in January 2020, European

countries like Italy, France, have stepped in to offer diplomatic support in Tehran in wake of Ukraine airliner crash where more than 60 Canadians died; Canada suspended diplomatic relations with Iran in 2012.

In times of strongly contested outcomes of other diplomatic formats such as G7-summits like in Canada June 2018 and in Taormina in 2017, these bilateral forms of co-operation are becoming more relevant. It is likely that communiqués of the G7 belong to a bygone era of great power politics in which it was important which state asserted itself. Informal politics lack accountability, legitimacy and effectiveness. In the 21st century, when the challenges are more globally networked than ever – digitization is just one example among many – states must act at different levels of governance. What matters for legitimacy is the outcome. In 2018, Justin Trudeau’s government with its “progressive agenda” (Trudeau, 2017) proposed five major themes for multilateral cooperation in the context of Canada’s G7 presidency. These five were again a stark reminder of the mutual interests of Canada and the EU in international cooperation: Investing in growth that works for everyone, preparing for jobs for the future, advancing gender equality and women empowerment, working together on climate change, oceans and clean energy, and building a more peaceful and secure world (Canada’s G7 Presidency website, online). Two of these themes, namely the focus on peace and security, and climate change are directly linked to currently politically contested foreign and security issues. Not only disputes in NATO with the U.S. administration, also Donald Trump’s withdrawal from the multilateral Paris Agreement on climate change give new importance to the ‘alliance for multilateralism’.¹

In response to the dwindling support for a rule-based international order, the German government and France have initiated an “Alliance for Multilateralism” in September 2018. Canada joined the Alliance for Multilateralism project in March 2019. “Many of today’s greatest challenges are global and can only be solved together. That is why Canada is united with its German, French and Japanese friends,” stated by Freeland at the time (Handelsblatt, online). The aim of the alliance, which consisted of more than 60 countries in December 2019, was to promote cooperation among its members in various policy areas such as non-proliferation, emerging technologies, the protection of humanitarian aid workers and climate and security policy based on the rule-based order. The more successful the partners are to overcome their divergent interests, the more fruitful the cooperation will be.

A mutual interest in democratic multilateralism makes them ideal partners for cooperation on reforms of multilateral institutions. A careful analysis of the current situation in international security and climate change policy points out key areas in which closer EU-Canada cooperation could be brought to bear fruit not only for their bilateral relationship but also the alliance for multilateralism in the short run and for years to come. It is about a shared understanding of democratic and not an instrumental view on multilateralism which serves as the basis of their commitment to security and climate change cooperation.

¹ See Speech by Foreign Minister Heiko Maas at the opening of the 16th Ambassadors Conference at the Federal Foreign Office, 27.08.2018, <https://www.auswaertiges-amt.de/en/newsroom/news/maas-freeland-am-bassadors-conference/2130332> (accessed 12.01.2020).

Why EU-Canada?

The thesis of the “end of the West” (Fukuyama, 1992) states that there is an ideological line of conflict of global political significance which, however, does not (as with Huntington (1996)) run between the West and the rest of the world, but within the West – namely between the United States (US) on the one hand and its Western European partners on the other. From a realistic point of view, however, the ties to the West were the guarantor of European integration. An important parameter of European integration is now disturbed by the transactional EU-US relationship. As a reaction, the former High Representative of the Union for Foreign Affairs and Security Policy Federica Mogherini announced in 2017 a “transactional policy towards the USA” (Mogherini, 2017). At the same time, Trump promotes disintegration by describing Brexit as a good idea or by fuelling the current tensions between Eastern and Western Europe over issues of understanding democracy and relations with Russia or the acceptance of the Chinese firm to build 5G networks in Europe. The USA is threatening “five eyes” intelligence-sharing arrangements if Canada allows Huawei into its 5G network (CBC, 2019, online).

Brexit puts the question of relations with third states on Brussel’s agenda and the accompanying uncertainty reinforces the motivation of both sides to work together. For a long time, the US was the most important and dominant partner for both Canada and the EU. However, given the current high volatility of US foreign policy, it can be seen as an external driver of cooperation between the EU and Canada. Also, both Canada and the EU could potentially increase their economic weight if they succeed in including recently negotiated CETA-standards (e.g. on labour, environment, rules of origin, public procurement, ISDS and even geographical indications) in new trade agreements with third parties.

The strong strategic partnership between Canada and the EU does not preclude them from forming further bilateral partnerships with other countries. This is exemplified by the newly signed Free Trade Agreements (FTAs) between the EU and Japan² and on-going negotiations with Australia, New Zealand and the Mercosur-countries. Brussels and Ottawa, however, have already concluded the Comprehensive Economic and Trade Agreement (CETA) and can now focus on how to further develop political and strategic relations.

Based on similar values and interests, this like-mindedness as well as an extensive set of agreements which evolved since 1976 makes the Union one of the closest and longest-standing partners of Canada and *vice versa*. In the beginning, the relationship rested primarily on trade even though many of the EU member states and Canada have worked well together within NATO. Hence, regarding security policy Canada and the EU had long focused on multilateral cooperation within the Transatlantic Alliance but not between each other.

Another important dimension of cooperation is the Global Strategy for the European Union’s Foreign and Security Policy (EUGS) (European Union, 2016). It divides the areas of responsibilities between NATO and the EU more precisely than before and aligns the latter closer to the Transatlantic Alliance. In accordance with the strategy, the Union takes responsibility for civil resilience, while NATO remains in charge of Europe’s

² The EU-Japan negotiations on the Economic Partnership Agreement (EPA) were finalized on 08 December 2017. In July 2018, Presidents Jean-Claude Juncker and Donald Tusk, and Japanese Prime Minister Shinzo Abe signed the agreement. In the next step, the European and Japanese Parliament have to ratify the agreement.

military defence (Bendiek, 2016). Moreover, it called for better and closer cooperation with third states (European Union, 2016). Thus, it contributed to a clearer allocation of responsibilities, paving the way for strategic partnerships with third countries such as Canada. This particularly applies because the impending British withdrawal from the EU reinforces the need to address this key issue.

How has the EU-Canada partnership been designed and what are the concrete steps to strengthen the newly established Strategic Partnership Agreement of 2016? The concept of strategic partnership was much-discussed in the late 2000s. Offering no new initiatives or guiding principles but bundling old ones (Bendiek and Kramer, 2009, pp.12-13), this concept was perceived as a lack of fruitful and concrete results at that time. So, the strategic discussions shifted towards the Global Strategy in June 2016. Brexit and the questioning of EU-US relations on both sides of the Atlantic, turned the political attention towards alternative third state relations in EU external relations.

The EU third state relationship concept might therefore revive the concept of strategic partnerships by addressing its previous structural weaknesses in design and effectiveness. Bilateral relations with third countries should serve in the interest of maintaining the right-based order which is the main objective of democratic multilateralism. In this sense, the EU should only be a means to the end of maintaining the multilateral order. Under the condition of globalisation, there can be no democracy only in one country, democracy is transnational, and democracy therefore needs to be supplemented (internationalisation of external effects). Otherwise, the law of the strongest prevails. The expression of democratic multilateralism is that the EU Commission is concentrating even more on ongoing trade talks with regions of the world beyond the US and link them to global issues such as climate and security policy. The CETA agreement with Canada and the revival of these relations are the prelude to the attempt to revive the concept of “strategic partnerships”. Negotiations with the South American Mercosur Group was signed. Agreements with Japan, Singapore and Vietnam are also to be ratified soon. Middle Size Multilateralism – the search for new partners such as Canada, Australia and New Zealand to protect the law-based order by means of these cooperative agreements with third countries – is the interest of the democratic multilateral actors like the EU and Canada.

Foreign and security policy

With the European Security Strategy of 2003, the EU wanted to promote “effective multilateralism”. Strategic partnerships were established with the USA, Canada, India, Brazil and Japan. As Sunghoon Park rightly pointed out: “Especially in the pursuit of fostering the functional logic in its strategic partnership, the EU tends to use the strategic partnership as a diplomatic tool to achieve specific aims rather than social and relational values in itself and therefore as an instrument to influence partner countries’ foreign policy” (Park, 2019, p. 259). The EUGS of June 2016 remains unambitious with regard to maintaining the international order on the basis of international law (Bendiek, 2016). It is the last of the five EUGS objectives mentioned. Unlike the National Security Strategy, the EU does not combine security and trade policy objectives yet (Bendiek, 2017).

Nevertheless, some authors state that the EU is already a “geo-economic superpower” because of its economic and regulatory strength, because it is at the heart of a Eurosphere of 80 states that depend on trade with and investment from the EU

(Moravcsik, 2017). But how sustainable is Europe's geo-economic power? With the world's largest single market, the EU is able to ensure that multinationals comply with European rules and standards because they want to operate in the largest single market in the future. It blocked the merger of General Electric and Honeywell, forced Microsoft to decouple from the Explorer browser and made all companies subject to the basic EU data protection regulation. Companies that evade the rules, face drastic fines.

The EU is in a self-imposed phase of reflection; its foreign policy identity (as 'soft' power and 'transformative' power) has historically become obsolete and was converted to the target of "resilience" with the EUGS (2016). The protection of Europe is regarded as the ultimate goal, politically formulated by the then President of the European Commission Jean-Claude Juncker. French President Emmanuel Macron echoes with a vision of "*l'Europe qui protège*" where the cooperation with third countries is becoming essential to the maintenance of European security. Technocratically implemented through the establishment of a security union in the area of freedom, security and rule of law, defence union through PESCO, CARDS and the Defence Fund, as well as intensified EU-NATO cooperation, especially in the context of territorial defence; digital sovereignty, externalisation of migration, "train and equip" missions in West Africa, development of a strategic culture with the aim of setting defence expenditure at 1.5 per cent, i.e. 20 billion, by 2025 as stated by the German chancellor Merkel in 2018 (FAZ 2018). These are just a few of numerous initiatives launched since June 2016 as part of the implementation of the EUGS.

According to Canadian Prime Minister Justin Trudeau, the EU and Canada "share a responsibility [to build] a more peaceful, and more secure world." (Council of the European Union, 2018, online). Traditionally, the US were Canada's closest ally, followed by the United Kingdom and France due to their historic and long-established relationships. Based on Canada's constantly increased involvement in multilateral cooperation, this recently shifted towards a closer partnership with the EU. The first meeting of the EU-Canada Joint Ministerial Committee on December 04, 2017, identified deeper Security and Defense cooperation and the enhancing of "EU-Canada cooperation around the world" as two of their top three priorities for 2018 (Joint Statement, 2017, online). Finally, in July 2019, the EU and Canada reiterated their commitment to advancing gender equality and women's rights in building peaceful inclusive societies for advancing security and resilience.

The Strategic Partnership Agreement 2016

Likewise, for Canada the EU is an obvious candidate to cooperate with. The Strategic Partnership Agreement (SPA) reflected on common interests and values and entrenched the common role as global actors of the two by a number of strategic dialogues. Among others the SPA led to the creation of new ones on cyber security, development, and counter terrorism. According to Garon (2016, p. 2), limited resources and the destabilization of the European security order in the light of Russian aggressions in Ukraine serve as Canada's two key motifs for a closer partnership with the EU. Enhancing cooperation as well as complementarity between NATO and the EU is therefore in Canada's national interest. Consequently, the Minister of Foreign Affairs Chrystia Freeland calls "Canada's partnership with its European allies [...] more essential than ever" and reaffirms her countries' commitment to shared interests and democratic values (Freeland, 2017). As both Canada and the EU assume more global responsibility, further institutionalized cooperation will help to make this endeavour more impactful

and effective. For these reasons, the two are each other's best option as close strategic partners on the global level.

Third state involvement in CFSP and CSDP

Getting third states on board of the EU's Common Foreign and Security Policy (CFSP) activities can be understood as being in the interest of the EU. This applies even more when it comes to the Common Security and Defense Policy (CSDP). EU partnerships with third states have been given little visibility even if the contribution of partner countries is increasing.³

The ongoing Brexit proceedings have underlined once more the relevance of this policy issue. Moreover, the question of future multilateral cooperation is part of the EU Global Strategy (2016) and its new Level of Ambition (LoA), namely: responding to external conflicts and crises, building the capacities of partners and protecting the Union and its citizens (Council of the European Union, 2016b). In order to respond to the evolving challenges in the area of security and defence (migration, counter-terrorism and hybrid threats)(Council of the European Union, 2016a), the EEAS under High Representative Federica Mogherini was actively taking forward the enhancement of strategic CFSP/CSDP partnerships. In fulfilling these criteria, one of the key priorities is ensuring the EU's own resilience through an integrated approach to conflicts and crises and the reinforcement of global governance based on international law (Council of the European Union, 2016a). The EU-NATO agreement in June 2016 was a step towards a deeper EU-cooperation with one of its principal partners, but foremost an immediate reaction to the changing transatlantic bond with the US. Furthermore, this new outreach towards third countries by the EU and its very own security and defence concerns, adds credibility to the recent decisions in CSDP matters and the resilience approach of the EU as a whole. The Permanent Structured Cooperation (PESCO), decided upon in December 2017, as a process of closer cooperation in security and defence forms part of this revival of strategic partnerships. In accordance with Articles 4(2)(g) and 9(1) of Decision (CFSP) 2017/2315, the European Council will set out the general conditions for exceptional third-state participation in PESCO projects and their compliance with these in June 2018 (Official Journal of the European Union, 2018).

With this in mind, a future Canadian contribution to PESCO takes concrete shape, while new opportunities of cooperation, besides the increasing consultations and coordination in multilateral fora such as the UN, the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), NATO and the Organization for Security and Co-operation in Europe (OSCE), are opening up.

How does the EU reach out to such third state parties in order to guarantee a mutual commitment? The EU to this day provides six forms of CSDP-cooperation: Framework Participation Agreement (FPA), European Neighbourhood Policy (ENP), Migration Compacts, Political Dialogues on counter-terrorism, cooperation with host-countries as well as candidate and potential candidate countries through a Stabilization and Association Agreement/SAA. Canada signed an FPA in November 2005 but has also contributed to missions and operations within the Western Balkans countries (EUFOR Althea, EULEX Kosovo, EUPM BiH and EUFOR Concordia). As one of the most

³ Canada's involvement in the past: EUPM BiH, Concordia, Artemis, EUFOR Althea, EUPOL Kinshasa, EULEX Kosovo, EUPOL Afghanistan. Currently: EUPOL COPPS and EUAM Ukraine – as well as providing a financial contribution to the military operation to EUTM Mali.

consistent contributors to the EU Advisory Mission in Ukraine, Agriteam Canada on behalf of the Canadian Global Affairs Office has realized various projects. Agriteam Canada provides management and technical expertise on projects that promote sustainable growth and meaningful opportunities for people to improve their living conditions. The Canadian EUAM portfolio ranges from Police Training Assistance Projects (2016–2019), support of a Patrol Police Reform (2015-2016) and a Juvenile Justice Reform Project (2007–2017) to an Expert Deployment for Governance and Economic Growth (2014-2019) (Agriteam Canada, 2018). The Royal Canadian Mounted Police has also visited EUAM in order to discuss co-operation and coordination in supporting efforts for the Civil Security Sector reform in Ukraine (EUAM Ukraine, 2015).

In light of fading enthusiasm for the Mali mission on the Canadian side (Gordon, 2017) as a result of the likely casualties and the complex nature of the conflict became increasingly apparent, a more developed civil engagement within EU-CSDP structures seems appealing to both partners. The Security and Defense Dialogue, established in May 2015, and the first Joint Ministerial Committee – co-chaired by Chrystia Freeland and Federica Mogherini – held in December 2017 put forward an agreement allowing for the exchange of classified information between them (Council of the European Union, 2017).

In order to use CSDP as a tool to provide expertise and assistance to the EU and its partners, strategic communication, border security, but also cyber security are relevant. Cybersecurity as one of the key priorities within the framework of a common EU-CSDP policy. This matter was also a top priority for the Munich Security Conference (MSC) in February 2018. “Secure digital networks are the critical infrastructure underpinning our interconnected world” (Freeland cited by Siemens, 2018) as the Canadian foreign minister Chrystia Freeland pointed out. The launch of a Digital Trust Initiative by Siemens and other industry partners during the MSC is an important step towards advancing this crucial topic. Freeland continues by stating that “Canada welcomes the efforts of these key industry players to help create a safer cyberspace” (Freeland cited by Siemens, 2018) and thereby highlights the importance of this initiative. The renewed EU Cybersecurity Strategy of 2017 encourages stronger links between the EU and other stakeholders in cybersecurity. The global dimension of the internet creates a need for the EU to further enhance appropriate international fora in order to “promote EU values and norms in respect of cybersecurity” (ENISA, 2017).

Cooperation in climate policy: an example of broadening relations

In the context of increasingly urgent responses to climate change, the adoption of the Paris Agreement in 2015 as well as the announced withdrawal of the Trump Administration, climate change has become an integral part of foreign policy initiatives in recent years – within formal UN processes and beyond. In addition to established informal formats such as the G7 or G20, initiatives such as the ‘Alliance for Multilateralism’ and the SPA between Canada and the EU now also refer to climate change as an issue of international cooperation and support the formal UN processes. This development can be understood as an extension of the scope of bilateral and multilateral cooperation on climate change. Especially since Canada's Prime Minister Trudeau announced in 2015 his plan to restore Canada's international reputation as a climate pioneer after his predecessor Stephen Harper slowed down Canada's ambitions in climate policy (Maciunias and de Lassus Saint-Geniès, 2018), Canada and the EU

have a mutual interest and face similar internal challenges in climate change. An overview of different international initiatives show that Canada and the EU explore various fora to exchange and coordinate their views and positions on climate change issues.

Climate change in the Strategic Partnership Agreement and “Alliance for Multilateralism”

When Canada and the EU agreed on the Strategic Partnership Agreement in 2016, they specifically addressed the “global threat of climate change and the need to take immediate and further action to cut emissions” (SPA, Art. 12.8). In addition, they agreed on support the rules-based regime under the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) and highlight the Paris Agreement as well as high-level dialogues between the countries to share best practices and promote “effective and inclusive cooperation on climate change” (SPA, Art. 12.9).

A joint declaration of the 17th Canada-EU summit in July 2019 follows up on that and states that the SPA provides a platform for cooperation on issues such as climate change (Canada-EU Summit Joint Declaration, 2019). In addition to that, the declaration states that the trade agreement: “CETA enables greater cooperation between Canada and the EU to address climate change and other global environmental challenges” (Canada-EU Summit Joint Declaration, 2019, I.8). Furthermore, the declaration refers to scientific knowledge of the IPCC, ongoing UN procedures such as UNFCCC negotiations and several other climate-related issues such as the Arctic, oceans and plastics.

The SPA shows clearly that the issue of climate change is an integral part of the bilateral cooperation under the SPA between Canada and the EU and that both countries support the approach of rules-based multilateralism through their bi-lateral cooperation.

Climate Change has also been explicitly addressed in the context of the “Alliance for Multilateralism”. One of the six initiatives of this alliance is called “The Climate and Security Initiative”. In this section, the parties address the importance of UNFCCC’s Paris Agreement as well as the threats to international peace and security by impacts of climate change. In addition to this, the alliance calls for “tools to analyse and act on the consequences of climate change for international peace and security” (France Diplomatie, 2019). Alliances like this provides an additional platform for exchange and coordination of like-minded countries like the Canada and the EU – this form of cooperation and coordination can be an important supplement to the formal UN negotiations under the UNFCCC.

G-formats and climate diplomacy

A closer look at the events of climate change-related developments in international politics in recent years shows that the informal G-formats can be important fora for climate diplomacy. The G-formats have repeatedly played an important role in international climate diplomacy. For example, under the German G7 presidency in 2015, when the “decarbonization of the global economy” target was included in the final communiqué (G7, 2015). The negotiations in Elmau are regarded as important preparatory work for the Paris Agreement, which was successfully negotiated at the end of 2015. During the G20 summit in Hangzhou in 2016, only six months after the conclusion of the negotiations on the Paris Agreement, Barack Obama and Xi Jinping

announced the joint ratification of the agreement, which was one of the most important prerequisites for its entry into force. When Donald Trump took office, these climate policy success stories from the G-summits were put on hold. The G7 summits 2017 in Italy and 2018 in Canada for example, were mainly shaped by the blockade of the US government.

Despite this latest development, the past G-groups summit show that informal diplomatic formats can be relevant for like-minded partners as Canada and the EU to coordinate their positions, build alliances and therefore contribute to progress in international climate policy. It should also not be underestimated that they are relevant as recurring platforms for exchange at the highest political level on climate change related issues.

Mutual interests – similar challenges

The development of Canada's role in climate policy illustrates that it is worth maintaining and developing international agreements even if crucial contractual partners decide to withdraw from the agreement: For Canada, it is by no means a granted matter that it counts itself among climate policy pioneers on the international stage. Over the last four decades, Canada's position in the international climate negotiations has changed several times (Maciunias and de Lassus Saint-Geniès, 2018). During the negotiations on the Kyoto Protocol (adopted in 1997), for example, it played an important role in reaching a consensus between the US and the EU. In 2011 – four years after Stephen Harper took over the government – Canada withdrew from the agreement. Harper was much more critical of the multilateral negotiations and refrained from active climate multilateralism (Maciunias and de Lassus Saint-Geniès, 2018). After Justin Trudeau was elected as the new Prime Minister in 2015, the climate policy ambitions changed significantly. Trudeau announced that Canada was “back” and that he wanted to establish its international reputation and pioneering role in the fight against climate change (Maciunias and de Lassus Saint-Geniès, 2018). During the climate negotiations in Paris, the Canadian delegation was then part of the High Ambition Coalition, which, among other things, negotiated for the incorporation of the 1.5°C target in the final text. Following Paris, the government also translated these ambitions into bilateral agreements. Important partners include China and the EU, which have agreed on tripartite cooperation with Canada. Together with the United Kingdom and 20 other countries, Canada is also part of the “Powering Past Coal Alliance”, which was officially launched at the 23rd UN climate conference in Bonn in 2017.

Beyond the international stage, however, Justin Trudeau's government is repeatedly criticised for not implementing the announced goals in domestic politics. He was also criticized shortly after taking office, when he decided to take over the nationally determined contribution for the Paris Agreement (NDC) of his predecessor Stephen Harper without increasing ambition.

Prior to his re-election in 2019, Trudeau chose climate policy as one of his main priorities. In their election manifesto, the Liberals call for net-zero emissions by 2050 and propose legally binding, five-year milestones for emissions based on scientific advice and consultations with the Canadians (Liberals, 2019). The priority of more ambitious climate policy was also addressed in the speech from the throne, which referred to the net-zero goal by 2050, the carbon price as well as several other initiatives. The issue of

climate change, however, has been one reason for regional divide between eastern and western Provinces (*New York Times*, 2019). In his last term, Trudeau tried to bridge this gap with rather ambiguous policies of proposing a carbon price and international climate leadership on the one hand and buying pipelines on the other. Unsurprisingly, he has been criticized from both sides of the political spectrum.

Although the EU has long been known internationally as a climate pioneer, the gap between internationally announced targets and actual climate policy at home also exists in European countries (Climate Action Tracker for the EU, online). This applies not only to existing legislation such as 2030 targets, but also to its long-term strategies. For now, the EU member states are far from being on track to reach net-zero emissions by 2050. The ambitious roadmap “EU Green Deal” towards climate neutrality by 2050 provides a conceptual framework and time schedule for this transformation. But the road to legally binding legislation is still a long one, and opposition from some regions and member states is to be expected (Geden and Schenuit, 2019).

The fact that Canada and the EU are facing similar internal political challenges may give rise to mutual interests in enhanced cooperation. New forms of cooperation could help to exchange on possibilities to find solutions for “just transitions” in fossil fuel rich regions and thereby overcome internal political challenges on the way towards net-zero emissions. The potential for new impetus, ambition and intensified cooperation lies in the following three areas.

Firstly, one way forward for Canada-EU cooperation would be to try to reach a consensus between the remaining six and nineteen countries in the G-formats as well as the ‘Alliance for Multilateralism’ concerning the ambitious implementation of the Paris Agreement. Sending a strong signal to climate diplomacy at UN level would increase the credibility and effectiveness of the multilateral agreement. If states could agree to set more ambitious voluntary commitments, the gap between internationally agreed declarations of intent and the missed target achievement at national level could be narrowed.

Secondly, the EU and Canada could lay the foundations for extending the climate policy negotiations to new fields of international policy such as international trade policy (Dröge and Schenuit, 2018). In the field of trade policy, the EU-Canada CETA trade agreement already incorporates links to the Paris Agreement. Shaping new forms of linkages between these policy fields could therefore be one building block of EU-Canada multilateral leadership and could play a pioneering role for future free trade agreements.

Thirdly, abandoning subsidies for the fossil fuel industry offers potential for future cooperation of Canada and the EU. The pledge to reduce them is well known from G7/8 meetings. It first entered declarations of a G8 meeting in 2009 (G8 Communiqué, 2009). In 2016, the G7 agreed on elimination of fossil fuel subsidies by 2025 (G7 Communiqué, 2016). The latest G-format communiqués however did not contain such formulation. A reintroduction of this aspect in the final communiqués – at least supported by the remaining six – could reaffirm the agreement already reached in 2016. Canada and the EU could also go ahead and cooperate on the simultaneous elimination of fossil fuel subsidies – a political decision that would be more likely followed by actual subsidies reductions if they agree on a joint initiative.

Outlook

The strengthening of EU-Canada relations in the last years has revealed mutual interests in several policy fields. In times of increasingly tense relations with the US, deepened and broadened cooperation is of particular importance for both, Canada and the EU. Closer EU-Canada relations are in their mutual interest. Especially in a situation in which the US foreign policy is increasingly erratic and unreliable, and the existence of multilateral institutions and agreements are seriously called into question. In this context, the “Alliance for Multilateralism” provides important communication channels against an instrumental understanding of multilateralism. The influx to populist parties in numerous EU countries, which find great pleasure in the populist/nationalist Trump politics, is a further incentive for promoting instrumental multilateralism. In this understanding, a “Europe of nation states” and foreign-policy unilateralism is an expression of instrumental multilateralism. Poland and the Baltic states conclude short-term deals with the US administration in order to buy security guarantees from the US. Germany’s foreign energy policy is also an example of this.

Even though the US government may become isolated when Canada and the EU actively pursuing their cooperation in security, trade and climate policy, this alliance between the two can contribute to securing what has been achieved multilaterally so far and maybe even initiate progress in these policy fields. Future cooperation agreements are to be seen in the context of the provisional application of the SPA and enable the accomplishment of the three agreed-upon actions: strengthening the EU-Canada bilateral relationship, enhancing the foreign policy coordination and addressing the global challenges and opportunities. A closer strategic partnership serves to fulfil growing worldwide responsibilities by Canada and the EU and increase their ability and potential to make a global impact. Hence, the implementation of all the SPA policies as well as the advancement of them in the Joint Ministerial Committee and other fora like the UN are vital for EU-Canada relations. Overall, the following conclusions can be drawn for the policy areas of security and climate change.

Given the importance of third-country involvement in European foreign and security policy EU-Canada relations should comprise two dimensions, which are equally important from an EU perspective: the first one is capacity-related, the second one is rather political (Tardy, 2014). To advance their common interests in promoting effective multilateralism, the EU and Canada have to foster the domestic commitment for their individual contribution for the UN and its specialized organizations and agencies, as well as the OECD, the NATO, the OSCE and other multilateral fora. At the same time, it is important that the EU and Canada work on common definitions related to strengthening transatlantic security. This should take into account the central role of the existing transatlantic security architecture between Europe and North America under the condition of the “America first” policy pursued under the current US-administration under Donald Trump. The EU might facilitate Canadian participation in PESCO projects (see also Leuprecht, 2019, in this Special Issue) but also in cyber diplomacy including the sharing of planning information regarding crisis management and capacity-building in third countries and further enhance their cooperation in this regard including on EU missions and operations. The goal is to enlarge the current strategic partnership agreement to a security partnership equivalent to the well-developed EU-Norway cooperation frameworks in CFSP and CSDP.

Past missions and operations have shown that the EU has been struggling to staff its very own missions. Fulfilling these priorities means that the EU for its part may either deploy non-executive CSDP civilian missions and military operations – upon invitation of the host country to provide strategic advice, training, mentoring and monitoring or it could build on permanent security cooperation with relevant third state partners such as Canada.

The PESCO agreement represents another attempt to enlarge the pool of possible contributors to future EU-activities in the field of security and defence. Accordingly, a Canadian PESCO contribution civilian and/or military – is a medium-term step and in this case, Canada should already be involved in the initial phase. Establishing a genuine format for seconded personnel might be another promising step towards substantiating this cooperation. The long-term success of the EU crisis management – e.g. in North Africa – will depend on such midterm decisions.

In times of weakening multilateralism and conflicts with the US administration, pursuing mutual interests on issues such climate change would not only be a clear statement of support for multilateral agreements such as the Paris Agreement but could also put cooperation on a broader footing and unleash new synergies. In the upcoming years, it will be crucial to support the Paris Agreement framework by adopting and implementing ambitious climate policies in line with the multilaterally agreed targets. As Canada and the EU are facing similar political hurdles to adapt ambitious climate policy domestically, a closer cooperation could contribute to overcome the widening gap between climate ambitions agreed upon internationally and the actual domestic climate policies. In particular, trying to find common ground in bilateral cooperation and transfer the dialogue to the G-formats could be an important contribution to keep the topic of climate change on the top of the agenda of heads of states. The ‘alliance for multilateralism’ can have a similar function and provide important forum for exchange and coordination between like-minded countries. Canada and the EU have the capacities to shape these climate change-relevant cooperation proactively.

References

Agriteam Canada (2018) *Projects in Europe*, <https://www.agriteam.ca/europe/#eur6> [accessed 12 January 2020].

Bendiek, Annegret (2016) *The Global Strategy for the EU's Foreign and Security Policy*, Berlin: German Institute for International and Security Affairs, August 2016 (SWP Comments 38/2016).

Bendiek, Annegret (2017) *A Paradigm Shift in the EU's Common Foreign and Security Policy: From Transformation to Resilience*, Berlin: German Institute for International and Security Affairs (SWP Research Paper 2017/RP 11).

Bendiek, Annegret and Kramer, Heinz (2009) *Die EU als globaler Akteur. Unklare Strategien, diffuses Leitbild*, Berlin: German Institute for International and Security Affairs, April, SWP Research Paper S12.

Canada's G7 presidency (2018) https://www.international.gc.ca/world-monde/international_relations-relations_internationales/g7/index-.aspx?lang=eng#a3 [accessed: 12 January 2020].

Canada-EU Summit Joint Declaration (2019) <https://pm.gc.ca/en-/news/backgrounders/2019/07/18/canada-eu-summit-joint-declaration> [accessed: 12 January 2020].

Climate Action Tracker for the EU (n.d.) <https://climateactiontracker.org/countries/eu/> [accessed 12 January 2020].

Council of the European Union (2016) Strategic Partnership Agreement between the European Union and its Member States, of the one part, and Canada, of the other part, <http://data.consilium.eu-ropa.eu/doc/document/ST-5368-2016-REV-2/en/pdf> [accessed 12.01.2020].

Council of the European Union (2016a) Council conclusions on the Global Strategy on the European Union's Foreign and Security Policy, 13202/16, 17.10.2016.

Council of the European Union (2016b) Implementation Plan on Security and Defence, 14392/16, 14.11.2016.

Council of the European Union (2017) "EU-Canada relations: joint statement of the 1st meeting of the joint ministerial committee", Press release, 04.12.2017, <http://www.consilium.europa.eu/en/press/press-releases/2017/12/04/eu-canada-relations-joint-statement-eu-and-canada-a-progressive-and-dynamic-strategic-partnership/> [accessed 12 January 2020].

Council of the European Union (2018) <https://www.consilium.europa.eu/de/press/press-releases/2018/06/09/the-charlevoix-g7-summit-communique/> [accessed 13 January 2020]

Council Recommendation (2018) 2018/C 88/01, 06.03.2018, http://europeanmemoranda.cabinetof-fice.gov.uk/files/2018/03/COUNCIL-RECOMMENDATION_OF_S_MARCH_2018_ON_PESCO.pdf [accessed 12 January 2020].

Dröge, Susanne and Schenuit, Felix (2018) "EU Trade and Climate Policy Linkages: Potentials in Times of Repositioning", German Institute for International and Security Affairs, SWP-Comment 2018/C16, <https://www.swp-ber-lin.org/en/publication/eu-trade-and-climate-policy-linkages/> [accessed 12 January 2020].

ENISA (2017) "Principles and opportunities for a renewed EU Cybersecurity Strategy", ENISA contribution to the Strategy review, 11.05.2017.

EUAM Ukraine (2015) "Activities and further cooperation. EUAM conducts coordination meeting with Canadian program and project's representatives", 06.11.2015, <http://www.euam-ukraine.eu/news/latest-news/activities-and-further-cooperation-euam-conducts-coordination-meeting-with-canadian-program-and-project-s-representatives/> [accessed 12 January 2020].

European Union (EUGS) (2016): Shared Vision, Common Action: A Stronger Europe. A Global Strategy for the European Union's Foreign and Security Policy, Brussels, June 2016, p. 8, http://eeas.europa.eu/archives/docs/top_stories/-pdf/eugs_review_web.pdf [accessed 12 January 2020].

FAZ (2018) Merkel will Verteidigungsausgaben nur auf 1,5 Prozent steigern , <https://www.faz.net/aktuell/politik/merkel-will-verteidigungsausgaben-auf-1-5-prozent-steigern-15626004.html> [accessed 12 January 2020].

France Diplomatie (2019) The Climate and Security Initiative, <https://www.diplomatie.gouv.fr/en/french-foreign-policy/united-nations/alliance-for->

- [multilateralism-63158/article/the-climate-and-security-initiative](#) [accessed 12 January 2020].
- Geden, Oliver and Schenuit Felix (2019) “Climate Neutrality as Long-Term Strategy”, German Institute for International and Security Affairs, SWP Comment 2019/C 33, <https://www.swp-berlin.org/10.18449/2019C33/>, [accessed: 12 January 2020].
- Handelsblatt (2019) Heiko Maas' „Allianz der Multilateralisten“ stößt nur in wenigen Ländern auf Begeisterung, <https://www.handelsblatt.com/politik/international-/internationaler-pakt-heiko-maas-allianz-der-multilateralisten-stoesst-nur-in-wenigen-laendern-auf-begeisterung/24900820.html?ticket=ST-1536765-LO9McN1UiGUVNjn9YGr-ap6> [accessed: 12 January 2020]
- Freeland, Chrystia (2017) Foreign Affairs Minister to participate in meetings with EU, NATO and OSCE, <https://www.canada.ca/en/global-affairs/news/2017/12-/foreign-affairs-ministertoparticipateinmeeting-switheunatoandosce.html> [accessed 12 January 2020].
- Fukuyama, Francis. (1992) *The end of history and the last man*. New York: The Free Press.
- CBC (2019) Canada warned of fallout on Five Eyes relationship if Huawei allowed on 5G, <https://www.cbc.ca/news/politics/canada-warned-of-fallout-on-five-eyes-relationship-if-huawei-allowed-on-5g-1.5370992> [accessed 12 January 2020].
- G7 Communiqué (2015) <https://www.bundesregierung.de/resource/blob/975254/398764/e077d51d67486b1df34e539f621aff8c/2015-06-08-g7-abschluss-eng-data.pdf?download=1> [accessed 12 January 2020]
- G7 Communiqué (2016) <https://www.bundesregierung.de/resource/blob/975254/475134/492265fcf12b2aod73b0fde3c4c7e11f/2016-05-27-g7-eng-data.pdf?download=1> [accessed 12 January 2020].
- G8 Communiqué (2009) https://www.g7germany.de/Content/DE/StatischeSeiten-/Breg/G7G20/Anlagen/G8-erklaerung-aquila-2009-en_blob=publicationFile&v=4.pdf [accessed 12 January 2020]
- Garon, Nathalie (2016) Benefits of the Canada-EU Strategic Partnership (SPA), https://carleton.ca/ces/wp-content/uploads/IB_LBP-.pdf [accessed: 12 January 2020].
- Gordon, Julie (2017) ‘Canada will not send peacekeepers to Mali in near future: officials,’ 15.11.2017, <https://www.reuters.com/article/us-un-peacekeeping-canada/canada-will-not-send-peacekeepers-to-mali-in-near-future-officials-idUSKBN1DF2XG> [accessed 12 January 2020].
- Huntington, Samuel P. (1996) *The clash of civilisations and the remaking of world order*, New York: Simon & Schuster.
- Joint statement – EU and Canada: A progressive and dynamic strategic partnership (2017) http://www.international.gc.ca/world-monde/international_relations-internationales/europe/2017-12-04-spa-aps.aspx?lang=eng [accessed 12 January 2020].
- Liberals (2019) Forward – A real plan for the Middle Class, <https://2019.liberal.ca/wp-content/uploads/sites/292/2019/09/Forward-A-real-plan-for-the-middle-class.pdf> [accessed 12 January 2020].

Maciunias, Silvia and de Lassus Saint-Geniès, Géraud (2018) ‘The Evolution of Canada’s International and Domestic Climate Policy’, Centre for International Governance Innovation (CIGI), <https://www.cigionline.org/publications/evolution-canadas-international-and-domestic-climate-policy-divergence-consistency> [accessed 12 January 2020].

Mogherini, Federica (2017), Remarks by High Representative Mogherini at the press roundtable during the visit to the United States of America, 10.02.2017, https://eeas.europa.eu/headquarters/headquarters-homepage/20408/remarks-high-representative-mogherini-press-roundtable-during-visit-united-states-america_en [accessed: 12 January 2020].

Moravcsik, Andrew (2017) “Europe is Still a Superpower. And it’s going to remain one for decades to come”, *Foreign Policy*, April 13, <https://foreignpolicy.com/2017/04/13/europe-is-still-a-superpower/> [accessed 13 January 2020].

New York Times (2019) “Trudeau Re-election reveals intensified divisions in Canada”, 22 October, <https://www.nytimes.com/2019/10/22/world/canada/trudeau-re-elected.html> [accessed 12 January 2020].

Official Journal of the European Union (2018) *A roadmap for the implementation of PESCO*, Council Recommendation, (2018/C 88/01), 06.03.2018, http://europeanmemoranda.cabinetoffice.gov.uk/files/2018/03/COUNCIL_RECOMMENDATION_OF_S_MARCH_2018_ON_PESCO.pdf [accessed on 12 January 2020]

Serrano, Pedro (2016): 3rd CSDP Symposium on Security and Defense Cooperation between the European Union and Canada on 28 April 2016, http://eucanada40.ca/wp-content/uploads/2016/05/Pedro_Serrano_Speech.pdf [accessed on 26 March 2018]

Siemens (2018) Siemens and partners sign joint charter on cybersecurity, Press release, 16 February, <https://www.siemens.com/press/en/pressrelease/?-press=/en/pressrelease/2018/corporate/pr2018020164coen.htm> [accessed 12 January 2020].

Strategic Partnership Agreement between Canada, of the One Part, and the European Union and its Member States, of the Other Part, https://www.international.gc.ca/world-monde/international_relations-relations_internationales/can-eu_spa-aps_can-ue.aspx?lang=eng [accessed 12 January 2020].

Park, Sunghoon (2019) “EU’s strategic partnership with Asian countries”, *Asia Europe Journal*, 17 (3): 257–263.

Tardy, Thierry (2014) “CSDP: Getting third states on board”, European Union Institute for Security Studies (EUISS), March.

Trudeau, Justin (2017). Announcement of Canada’s G7 Themes, <https://g7.gc.ca/en/g7-presidency/themes/> [accessed: 22 March 2018].